Difluorotetracyanoquinodimethane: Electron Affinity Cut-off for 'Metallic' Behaviour in a Tetrathiafulvalene Salt

By GUNZI SAITO and JOHN P. FERRARIS*

(The University of Texas at Dallas, P.O. Box 688, Richardson, Texas 75080)

Summary The synthesis and properties of 2,5-difluorotetracyanoquinodimethane and its tetrathiafulvalene salt whose electrical conductivity is limited by the enhanced acceptor electron affinity are described.

MANY studies on TTF-TCNQ (tetrathiafulvalene-tetracyanoquinodimethane) and its derivatives and analogues have produced some discernment of the variation in solid state properties with changes in crystal structure, dimensionality, and degree of charge transfer.¹ We sought to investigate the metal-to-insulator transition by alteration of the electron affinity of the acceptor while keeping structural perturbations to a minimum. The monotonic introduction of fluorine substituents onto TCNQ seemed the ideal choice since the van der Waals' radii of F and H are comparable while the electronic perturbation imparted by each successive fluorine would be substantive. TCNQ affords 'organic metals' while TCNQF4 invariably forms insulating salts with fulvalene donors even when these systems are essentially isostructural with their TCNQ counterparts.



SCHEME. i, NaNO₂, HCl; ii, HBF₄, heat; iii, fuming HNO₃; iv, SnCl₂, HCl; v, N-bromosuccinimide; vi, KCN, tetrahydrofuran-H₂O-EtOH; vii, MeOCO₂Me, NaOMe; viii, CNCl; ix, KOH-H₂O; x, HCl-H₂O; xi, Br₂-H₂O.

In a previous communication² we reported the synthesis and 'metallic' properties of salts based on monofluorotetracyanoquinodimethane (FTCNQ), an acceptor with an asymmetric electronic distribution and enhanced electron affinity compared to TCNQ. We now describe the synthesis and properties of a new TCNQ derivative, 2,5-difluorotetracyanoquinodimethane (F₂TCNQ), (9), whose symmetry is C_{2h} . (Scheme)

The fluoroxylene obtained by thermal decomposition of the fluoroborate salt of p-xylidine was treated with fuming nitric acid to give (3) as a pale yellow solid, m.p. 61.5 °C (lit.³ 49-50 °C) in 50% yield. ¹H n.m.r.: (CDCl₃, Me₄Si) δ 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.56 (s, 3H), 6.91 [d, 1H, $J_{F-H(ortho)}$ 17 Hz] and 7.85 [d, 1H, $J_{F-H(meta)}$ 12 Hz]. The nitro group was reduced smoothly with stannous chloride in concentrated HCl to give (4) as a white solid, m.p. 80.0-80.5 °C in 82% yield. ¹H n.m.r.: (CDCl₃, Me₄Si) δ 2·11 (s, 3H), 2·18 (s, 3H), 3·22 (br, 2H), 6·41 [d, 1H, $J_{F-H(meta)}$ 10 Hz], and 6.66 [d, 1H, J_{F-H(ortho)} 17 Hz]. Compound (4) was converted into 2,5-difluoro-p-xylene (5), m.p. 39.0-40.0 °C, in 39% yield by a procedure analogous to the initial step. ¹H n.m.r.: (CDCl₃, Me₄Si) δ 2.20 (s, 6H), 6.64, 6.77, and 6.90 (2H). Bromination with N-bromosuccinimide affords (6a), m.p. 107-108 °C (lit.⁴ 53-55 °C)[†] which was directly converted into the dinitrile (6b), m.p. 88-92 °C. The remainder of the synthesis is essentially the procedure of Wheland and Martin.⁵

Compound (9) is obtained as a golden-brown solid after purification by multiple gradient sublimation in a 2% overall yield from (1), [m.p. (uncorrected) $259\cdot0-260\cdot0$ °C; u.v.: (MeCN) λ_{max} 392 (ϵ 4·1 × 10⁴), 372 (2·7 × 10⁴) nm; i.r.: (KBr) 3066 s, 2235 m, 1575 s, 1551 m, 1391 s, 1199 s, 1138 m, 908 m, and 789 s cm⁻¹; mass spectrum: m/e 240 (M^+ , 100%), 241 ($M^+ + 1$, 15·5%, calc 14·9%)]. The cyclic voltammogram displays two reversible oneelectron reduction waves at $E_1^1 = 0.300$ and $E_4^2 = -0.144$ V (vs. standard calomel electrode, 0·1 M LiClO₄, MeCN, Pt electrode, 25 °C). This yields an electron affinity of 3·00 eV, in excellent agreement with that estimated from the solution charge transfer bands of F₂TCNQ with pyrene or hexamethylbenzene [3·02 eV using $E_A(\text{TCNQ}) = 2.84$ eV].⁶

Plots of the $E_{\frac{1}{2}}$ values for the series $F_n \text{TCNQ}$ (F=0, 1,¹ 2,4⁷) vs. Hammett σ values⁸ show the following linear correlations: $\ddagger E_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 = 0.214 \Sigma \sigma + 0.134$ (r = 0.995) and $E_{\frac{3}{2}}^2 = 0.170 \Sigma \sigma - 0.273$ (r = 0.99). Furthermore, the $E_{\frac{1}{2}}^1$ and $E_{\frac{3}{2}}^2$ values are linearly related with $E_{\frac{3}{2}}^2 = 0.831E_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 - 0.400$ (r = 0.9995) indicating that there should be virtually no enhanced coulomb repulsive forces operating in the doubly charged states of any fluorinated member in the series.§

Unlike the FTCNQ salts which fail to produce large crystals,² single crystals of TTF-2,5-F₂TCNQ typically $3.0 \times 0.1 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}^3$ are readily obtained by slow cooling of acetonitrile solutions. Four-probe d.c. conductivity measurements on 5 samples give an average conductivity of ca. $2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ ohm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ with E_{act} ca. 0.36 eV.

The exact degree of charge transfer in $TTF-F_2TCNQ$ will have to await future ESCA and/or X-ray studies but the low electrical conductivity implies that it is probably

† The reported low m.p. suggests the inclusion of significant amounts of mono- and/or tri-bromide impurities.

[‡] Plots of $E_{\frac{1}{2}}$ vs. $(\sigma_m + \sigma_p)/2$ proposed by Wheland and Gillson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 916 are also linear (slope 0.474 and 0.423, and intercept 0.141, -0.069 for $E_{\frac{1}{2}}^1$ and $E_{\frac{1}{2}}^2$, respectively). This correlation in a series of TCNQ and *p*-benzoquinone derivatives as well as other *m*-acceptors will be discussed elsewhere. (G. Saito, unpublished results).

[§] This is at variance with theories initially offered (ref. 7) to explain the insulating character of F_4TCNQ salts but is consistent with current views (A. N. Bloch, W. A. Bryden, D. O. Cowan, M. E. Hawley, T. J. Kistenmacher, T. O. Poehler, and J. P. Stokes, Abstracts, ACS/CSJ Chemical Congress, April, 1979, Honolulu).

J.C.S. CHEM. COMM., 1979

close to 1.00 as in F4TCNQ salts. Assuming similar crystal structures, it is unlikely that symmetry perturbations available to the (yet unsynthesized) 2,3- and 2,6-F₂TCNQ isomers can outweigh the large ionization potentialelectron affinity difference.

We thank the Robert A. Welch Foundation and Research Corporation for support of this work.

(Received, 20th July 1979; Com. 786.)

¹ 'Organic Conductors and Semiconductors,' eds. L. Pal, G. Grüner, A. Janossy, and J. Solyom, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
² J. P. Ferraris and G. Saito, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1978, 992.
³ G. Valkanes, J. Chem. Soc., 1963, 5554.
⁴ J. Blum and M. Zimmerman, Tetrahedron, 1972, 275.
⁴ D. Blum head P. L. Mattira Lorger (1972), 40, 2101.

- ⁶ J. Blum and M. Zhimerman, *Terraneuron*, 1972, 273. ⁶ R. C. Wheland and E. L. Martin, J. Org. Chem., 1975, **40**, 3101. ⁶ E. C. M. Chen and W. E. Wentworth, J. Chem. Phys., 1975, **63**, 3183. ⁷ A. F. Garito and A. J. Heeger, Accounts. Chem. Research., 1974, **7**, 232. ⁸ σ Values for phenols ($\sigma_0 = 0.54$, $\sigma_m = 0.34$) were used. H. H. Jaffe, Chem. Rev., 1953, 53, 191; A. I. Biggs and R. A. Robinson, J. Chem. Soc., 1961, 388.